

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,737	08/22/2001		David Mottier	213112US2 6507		
22850	7590	05/24/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, S 1940 DUKE		CCLELLAN	PHU, PHUONG M			
ALEXAND		22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2631		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Annli	cation No.	Applicant(a)					
				Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/93	3,737 	MOTTIER, DAVID	!				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			ng Phu	2631					
<i> The M</i> Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears or	the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING - Extensions of til after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply any reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD IS DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this correply specified above is less than thirty (reply is specified above, the maximum swithin the set or extended period for repled by the Office later than three months arm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a rep e statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT e application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 29 March 20	005.						
·=	tion is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action		•					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims								
4a) Of t 5)	s) 1-10 is/are pending in the he above claim(s) is/s) is/are allowed. s) 1 is/are rejected. s) 2-10 is/are objected to. s) are subject to restricts	are withdrawn from							
Application Pap	ers								
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by t	he Examiner.							
10)☐ The dra	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applica	nt may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknow a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 0 2.□ 0 3.□ 0	redgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati attached detailed Office acti	y documents have y documents have s of the priority doc onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Ap uments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	01-1 (770 000)		" □	(DTC 110)					
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Information Dis	cclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 call Date		5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PT0 -)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/933,737

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 3/29/05.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of copending Application No. 09/935,584. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 2 of copending Application No. 09/935,584 encompass the limitations of claim 1 of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,737

Art Unit: 2631

Response to Arguments

Page 3

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/29/05 have been fully considered.

Previous objections on Drawings, Specifications and Claims have been withdrawn since they were amended to overcome the objections.

However, claim 1 is deemed not allowable because of reasons set forth above in this Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phung Phu Phuong Phu 05/20/05

PHUONG PHU PRIMARY EXAMINER